

Proposed Double Yellow Lines - Ashford Road, Faversham

ANNEX C FIRST CONSULTATION

Residents Response

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
Response 1		1		
Response 2			1	Requesting timed restrictions to allow weekend parking
Response 3		1		
Response 4		1		Visibility very poor when coming out of drive
Response 5		1		
Response 6		1		
Response 7		1		This is urgently required to eliminate a very hazardous situation that has developed over recent weeks.
Response 8		1		My views discussed with Highways Dept about time
Response 9		1		Long overdue preventing a serious accident at this junction
Response 10		1		
Response 11		1		We do wonder where they will park next
Response 12		1		
		11	1	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	13
Number of letters returned	12
Return Percentage	92
Support Percentage	92
Object Percentage	8

Other Consultees Response

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
Kent Highway Services	Kent Highway Services			Various comments and recommendations following site meeting with local Councillors and residents
Sitt Ambulance Police		1 1		No objection see letter
Cllr David Simmons	Whitehill House Brogdale Rd	1		Longer term proper parking facilities will have to be developed. What about Salters Lane?
		3	0	TOTAL

Proposed Double Yellow Lines - Ashford Road, Faversham

ANNEX C
SECOND CONSULTATION

Residents Response

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
Response 1		1		
Response 2		1		
Response 3		1		Could any temp measures be implemented before yellow lines are installed opposite bell mouth. This is on going problem causing a potential accident situation.
Response 4		1		
Response 5		1		
Response 6			1	Cannot see how this will stop commuters parking on the path outside our houses, therefore it will still be impossible to have any deliveries made. A timed restriction 6:30am-8:30am could be the answer on this stretch of road.
Response 7		1		so dangerous trying to put out of lay-by, literally hit and miss
Response 8			1	Letter attached. Remain concerned that proposals retain double yellow lines outside No.105-109 Ashford Road. Single yellow line would be of great benefit as would make additional parking when charity garden open days occur upto 10 weekend afternoons per year. In recent weeks, day time problem of daytime parking caused by car sharing has declined quite significantly
Response 9		1		
Response 10		1		
Response 11		1		Excellent ideas. Speed cameras to stop the loonies would improve safety no end. I think you will end up having to do lines on the other side of the road because they will only go there if you don't
		9	2	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	13
Number of letters returned	11
Return Percentage	92
Support Percentage	82
Object Percentage	18

Proposed Parking Restrictions - Bysing Wood Road, Faversham**Residents Response**

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
Response 1			1	Unnecessary and impractical for yellow lines, where would visitors park
Response 2		1		
Response 3		1		Single yellow line with parking restrictions between 8am -6pm should be applied. The factory should be made to provide parking for their employees.
Response 4			1	where will cars park? Agree it would make road safer but surely the cars will cause parking issues on side roads?
		2	2	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	11
Number of letters returned	4
Return Percentage	36
Support Percentage	50
Object Percentage	50

Other Consultees Response

Name	Support	Object	Notes
Sittingbourne Ambulance police	1 1		no objections see letter
	2	0	TOTAL

Proposed Parking Restrictions - Bysing Wood Road, Faversham

Residents Response

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
Response 1		1		<p>Completely unnecessary and great inconvenience to me and my family. If such a restriction is truly required I cannot see why a similar restriction for the entire length is not required. My family and friends visit all the time and single line will mean they will have to park further down the road causing inconvenience to those residents. I have no idea who has requested this but everyone I've spoken to believes this is a bad idea.</p> <p>Grateful is you would refrain from imposing restrictions without a full and detailed assessment involving all concerned letter referred to speed humps</p> <p>Get rid of cycle route/track. This would save a lot of trouble. Cyclists do not use it</p>
Response 2			1	
Response 3			1	
Response 4		1		
Response 5		1		
Response 6		1		
		4	2	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	11
Number of letters returned	6
Return Percentage	55
Support Percentage	67
Object Percentage	33

Proposed Amendments to Parking Restrictions - Outside No.2 Priory Road, Faversham

Residents Response**FIRST CONSULTATION****ANNEX C**

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
Response 1			1	Letter sent in objecting - lining has improved safety in road, removing some of this lining is unnecessary and this is one of the narrowest sections of the road
Response 2		1		
Response 3			1	All residents had the opportunity to object two years ago.
Response 4			1	The road along the proposed stretch to remove DYL would be hazardous as it is much too narrow to allow parking.
Response 5		1		Support the removal, they are not needed.
Response 6			1	Removal would be a mistake and result in problems experienced in the past at the time of the school runs. (1) Congestion road is as narrow here as rest of area subjected to restrictions. When cars are parked only a car width is possible. Emergency vehicles would not get through. Problems again for No 3 accessing their drive. (2) When roads congested, less safety for everyone. If restrictions are removed more congestion will result in certain drivers using part of the pavement and causing an obstruction to pedestrians, please bear in mind the primary school entrance, where mothers with push chairs have to walk in the road. Removal of these lines would probably not produce any further spaces. All properties have adequate off road parking. If more spaces are required, there is space o/s the church where is road is wider. Surprised you have received this request now work is finished, at every stage the Council kept the residents informed as should be commended for how fully they communicated with the public. We feel these parking restrictions have worked very well in dealing with the congestion and r
Response 7				Letter sent in objecting to existing DYL - requesting reduction on both sides of road at Priory Row end or changing to SYL
		2	4	TOTAL
Residents Consulted	5			
Number of letters returned	6			
Return Percentage	120			
Support Percentage	33			
Object Percentage	67			

Other Consultees Response

Name	Support	Object	Notes
Sitt Ambulance	1		
Police	1		no objections
	2	0	TOTAL

Proposed Amendments to Parking Restrictions - Outside No.2 Priory Road, Faversham

ANNEX C**SECOND CONSULTATION****Residents Response**

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
Response 1			1	Letter received - can see no benefit, etc.
Response 2			1	Present scheme working very well. Change would result in congestion. The obstruction which previously occurred has stopped. Traffic flows, safety improved, driveways unhindered. Scheme success and Council should be congratulated . Retrograde step if amendment goes ahead, resulting in problems for residents and emergency services. If it does go ahead we suggest times s/be 8.00-10.00am and 2.00-5.30pm
Response 3				All people affected had adequate notification about the original proposal (letter) no objection to ***** not having DYL o/s her property. We would prefer the lines o/s her garages to remain in position.
Response 4			1	Any alteration unnecessary. It would cause vehicles to park on both sides of the narrow strip making it difficult to pass through
Response 5			1	Discussed at PCC. All double lines removed. Replace with single yellow. Time restrictions M-F term time only. School entrance marking to remain.
Response 6			1	Letter received - lines are unnecessary and are of no concern to anyone else, do not affect anyone else. Did not reply to first consultation as thought they did not affect me. Most neighbours confirm that they have no objection to the lines outside my house being removed.
		0	5	TOTAL
Residents Consulted	6			
Number of letters returned	5			
Return Percentage	83			
Support Percentage	0			
Object Percentage	100			